

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/758,541	01/16/2004		Shigekazu Yasuoka	SNY-049	5595	
20374	7590	04/25/2006		EXAMINER		
KUBOVCI	K & KU	BOVCIK	MAPLES, JOHN S			
SUITE 710 900 17TH S	TREET N	IW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	WASHINGTON, DC 20006				1745	
				DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/758,541	YASUOKA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	John S. Maples	1745
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the co	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 F This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2 and 4 is/are withdress 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	ar	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct to by the Examine and the correct sheet and the	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Set tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	v (PTO-413)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail D	

Application/Control Number: 10/758,541 Page 2

Art Unit: 1745

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I in the reply filed on February 14, 2006 is acknowledged.

- 2. Claims 2 and 4 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko-US 5,840,166. (Kaneko) (New Rejection)

Reference is made to column 3, lines 35-54 and column 10, lines 10-36 of Kaneko for the disclosure of a hydrogen storage alloy for use in an anode of an alkaline storage battery. With particular attention to the formula in line 40 of column of 3 of

Application/Control Number: 10/758,541 Page 3

Art Unit: 1745

Kaneko, where, for example, x=.19, y=.1 and z=3.5, and R, for example is La, L is Mg and M is Al, the claimed formula meets the claimed formula in claim 1 of applicant's claims. Also, column 10, lines 30-36 set forth carbon as a conductive material in the anode of Kaneko. The selection of the above particular materials in Kaneko would have been obvious because the same situation occurred in Merck & Co. Inc. v Biocraft Laboratories, 10 USPQ 2d, 1843, in which the court stated:

"That the '813 patent discloses a multitude of effective combinations does not render any particular formulation less obvious. This is especially true because the claimed composition is used for the identical purpose taught by the prior art."

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaneko in view of JP-2001-316744. ('744) (New Rejection)

The only claimed feature not shown by Kaneko is the particular type of carbon used in the anode thereof. The '744 patent teaches the use of either acetylene black or ketjen black for use in the anode of an alkaline battery. To incorporate either the acetylene black or the ketjen black in the anode of Kaneko would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in this art because of the known high conductive properties of these carbon materials.

- 7. Applicant's arguments have all been considered but are deemed moot in view of the above new grounds of rejection.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John S. Maples whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/758,541

Art Unit: 1745

272-1287. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 6:15-3:45, and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Ryan can be reached on 571-272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JOHN S. MAPLES
PRIMARY EXAMINER

JSM/4-19-2006